Open Software in Open Science

Dr. Britta Westner

Open Science and Reproducibility Workshop March 12, 2019











Let's find answers to the following questions:

- Why is open source **essential for open science**?
- What are **best practices** for open tools?
- How does all this facilitate **reproducibility**?
- Is there an **open source crisis**?



Software is open source if the source code

- is freely available
- may be modified
- may be **redistributed**



What I cannot create, I do not understand. Richard Feynman, 1988

Black boxes do not belong in science.

Fernando Pérez, 2017



For **reproducibility** of results, the following things need to be considered:

- **computational tools**: your scripts, toolboxes, programming language, operating system,
- the data
- **sharing** of the work
- **communicating** the work



For **reproducibility** of results, the following things need to be considered:

- computational tools: use open tools and share your code
- the data: share
- **sharing** of the work: **in an easily accessible manner**
- communicating the work: publish, tweet, ... and include links to code and data!



Reproducibility starts with you.

Looks familiar?

- 🕶 🚞 my_favourite_project
 - data_analysis.py
 - data_analysis_1.py
 - data_analysis_2.py
 - data_analysis_2-fixbug.py
 - data_analysis_2-revert-new-results.py
 - data_analysis_final.py
 - DATA_ANALYSIS.py
 - data_analysis_final_final.py
 - data_analysis_final_final-2.py

- can you reproduce your own results at a later stage?
- use version control
- document your code

AARHUS UNIVERSITY

Using version control provides you with your own **time machine**.

Principle:

- you are responsbile for time stamps
- file only exists in most recent version
- log of changes
- recommendation: git



photograph by Babbel1996 / CC-BY-2.5



Where?



How? Etiquette for sharing code.

- include a license
- share your code **formatted**: line width, coding stlyes (linters)
- **document** your code: comments, docstrings, project description
- note down **dependencies** and versions











```
1 import mne
2 import numpy as np
3 from mne.beamformer import make lcmv, apply lcmv epochs
6 def run lcmv epochs(epochs, fwd, data cov, req, noise cov=None,
                       pick ori='max-power', weight norm='nai', verbose=False):
       filters = make lcmv(epochs.info, fwd, data cov=data cov,
                           noise cov=noise cov, pick ori=pick ori,
10
11
                           reg=reg, weight norm=weight norm, verbose=verbose)
12
       stcs = apply lcmv epochs(epochs, filters, return generator=True,
13
14
                                 max ori out='signed', verbose=verbose)
15
       stcs_mat = np.ones((epochs._data.shape[0], fwd['nsource'],
16
17
                            len(epochs.times)))
18
19
       if verbose is False:
           mne.set log level('WARNING')
20
21
      for trial in range(epochs. data.shape[0]):
22
23
           if trial == 0:
24
               stc = next(stcs)
25
26
               stcs mat[trial, :, :] = stc.data
           else:
27
               stcs mat[trial, :, :] = next(stcs).data
28
29
       return stcs mat, stc, filters
```



```
1 import mne
2 import numpy as np
3 from mne.beamformer import make lcmv, apply lcmv epochs
4
6 def run lcmv epochs(epochs, fwd, data cov, reg, noise cov=None,
                       pick_ori='max-power', weight norm='nai', verbose=False):
      filters = make lcmv(epochs.info, fwd, data cov=data cov,
10
11
                           noise cov=noise cov, pick ori=pick ori,
12
                           reg=reg, weight norm=weight norm, verbose=verbose)
13
14
15
       stcs = apply lcmv epochs(epochs, filters, return generator=True,
16
                                 max ori out='signed', verbose=verbose)
17
18
19
       stcs mat = np.ones((epochs. data.shape[0], fwd['nsource'],
20
                            len(epochs.times)))
21
22
       if verbose is False:
23
           mne.set log level('WARNING')
24
25
      for trial in range(epochs. data.shape[0]):
26
27
28
           if trial == 0:
29
               stc = next(stcs)
               stcs mat[trial, :, :] = stc.data
30
31
           else:
32
               stcs_mat[trial, :, :] = next(stcs).data
33
34
       return stcs mat, stc, filters
```



1	l import mne					
2	import numpy as np					
3	from mne.beamformer import make_lcmv, apply_lcmv_epochs					
4						
5						
6	<pre>def run_lcmv_epochs(epochs, fwd, data_cov, reg, noise_cov=None,</pre>					
7	pick_ori='max-power', weight_norm='nai', verbose=False):					
8	"""Run LCMV on epochs.					
9	Run weight-normalized LCMV beamformer on epoch data, will return matrix of					
10	trials or stc object.					
11						
12	Parameters:					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18	data cov : MNE covariance estimateg					
19	data covariance matrix					
20						
21	regularization parameter					
22						
23						
24						
25	overrides default verbose level, defaults to False, i.e., no logger					
26						
27						
28						
29						
30	stcs_mat : numpy array					
31	matrix with all source trials					
32						
33	single trial stc object (last trial)					
34						
35						
36						
37	filters = make_lcmv(epochs.info, fwd, data_cov=data_cov,					
38	noise_cov=noise_cov, pick_ori=pick_ori, reg=reg,					
39	weight_norm=weight_norm, verbose=verbose)					
40						
41						
42	<pre>stcs = apply_lcmv_epochs(epochs, filters, return_generator=True,</pre>					
43	<pre>max_ori_out='signed', verbose=verbose)</pre>					
44						
45	# preallocate matrix					
46	<pre>stcs_mat = np.ones((epochsdata.shape[0], fwd['nsource'],</pre>					
47	<pre>len(epochs.times)))</pre>					
48						
49	if verbose is False:					
50	mne.set_log_level('WARNING')					
51						
52	# resolve generator					
53	for triat in range (epochsdata.snape[0]):					
54	# Last time: also save stc					
55						
50	ster - Hugel Software in Open Science					
07						



On GitHub*/Lab/Bucket you can:

- share code
- **follow** researchers and toolboxes to stay up-to-date
- collaborate on projects
- fork projects to make your own version of them
- contribute to projects, e.g., open source toolboxes



For full reproducibility, data is needed.

One possibility for sharing data: The Open Science Framework

🗱 OSF HOME <						
	Classifying MEG high frequency activity Files Wiki Analytics Registrations					
Classifying MEG high frequency activity						
	Contributors: Britta Westner, Tobias Staudigl					
	Date created: 2018-02-22 08:00 PM Last Opdated: 2018-03-16 05:34 PM					
	Identifiers: DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/M25N4 ARK c7605/0st.IO/M25N4					
	Category: 📦 Project					
	Description: Data and analysis code for the paper "Across-subjects classification of stimulus modality from human MEG high freque					
	License: BSD 3-Clause "New"/"Revised" License 🕄					

OSF: Keeping data and code together



C

Wiki

Across-subjects classification of stimulus modality from human MEG high frequency activity

Britta U. Westner, Sarang S. Dalal, Simon Hanslmayr, & Tobias Staudigl

Abstract

Single-trial analyses have the potential to uncover meaningful brain dynamics that are obscured when averaging across trials. However, low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) can impede the use of single-trial analyses and decoding metho...

Read More





How easy is it to re-run your analysis?



https://www.gw-openscience.org/tutorials/

Open Software in Open Science



Notebooks are great, but:

- still need to download the data
- still need to create the right environment (software versions, operating system)



```
https://www.gw-openscience.org/tutorials/
```

Wait, couldn't we write whole papers like this?







Lewis et al. 2018



Why should I contribute to open source?

- solve a problem
 - 1/2 of Github contributors contribute only once Eghbal 2017
- for the **reputation**
- for the **community**

Came for the language, stayed for the community. Brett Cannon

Contributing to open source: getting started



- Annoyed by that one **bug** in the toolbox? **Open an issue**.
- Know how to **fix** it? **Open a PR.**
- Most communities have a how to contribute wiki page.
- Most communities are very welcoming!



- Open source is **essential** for open science.
- Spans from sharing code to using open source toolboxes and software.
- Practical reproducibility is important.
- **Contributing** to open source toolboxes is fun!



OpenSSL

The toolkit for internet connection security was used on 66% of all web servers worldwide (2014).

Prior to "Heartbleed", it was maintained by only a handful of volunteers.

Eghbal 2016; Klug & Miller 2018

NumPy and scientific Python

Being one of the pillars of scientific Python, NumPy only secured stable funding in 2017. The scientific Python world relied on an estimated 30 people in 2011. NumFOCUS 2017; Pérez 2011



2/3 of top projects on GitHub are maintained by only one or two people. Avelino et al. 2017

The Truck Factor of toolboxes:

minimal number of developers that have to be hit by a truck before a project is lost.

Project	Truck Factor	
git	12	
scikit-learn	7	
IPython	4	
pandas	2	
		Avelino et al. 2017



• funding

• needs of maintainers: traditionally not considered in open source

Our goal should be to spread freedom and then defend it. That is more important than making our software popular, which would just be catering to our egos. Richard Stallman, 2005

• burning out on projects: workload and toxic feedback

[T]he angry response has been overwhelming. Every single day I'm reading someone else rant about how awful of a job we're doing. It's been hard to stay motivated. James Kyle, 2016



Software work in science can be career suicide.

Fernando Pérez, 2011





Something I didn't expect when working on @mybinderteam and @ProjectJupyter: not being attached to a specific scientific field is really scary! You realize how much the academic world doesn't understand how to value work that isn't attached to publications in "your domain."

Tweet übersetzen
 13:35 - 11. Feb. 2019
 27 Retweets 157 "Gefällt mir"-Angaben
 ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀ ♀
 ♀ ↑ ↑↓ 27 ♡ 157 ☑



The problems:

- incentive structure of **modern academia** fits poorly with developers: *contributions instead of publications*
- tradeoff: expertise vs. time

Possible solutions:

- **critical mass**: sharing and contributing
- consider open source in **teaching** and supervising
- consider open source "sacrifices" in hiring decisions and with grants



- Open source is **essential** for open science.
- Ways towards higher reproducibility.
- Ways towards contributing to open source.
- Awareness of the open source dilemmas and ideas how to cope.



CFIN @ Aarhus university Sarang Dalal





MNE-Python Alexandre Gramfort Denis A. Engemann

Eric Larson